

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/629,136
 07/29/2003
 Michael J. Hubbard
 GT-4751
 6579

 7590
 10/08/2004
 EXAMINER

 DAVID G. BURLESON
 HARAN, JOHN T

DAVID G. BURLESON OMNOVA SOLUTIONS Inc. 175 GHENT ROAD FAIRLAWN, OH 44333-3300

1733

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\overline{}$
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/629,136	HUBBARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John T. Haran	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, an - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute. cause the application to become AR	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	September 2004.		
•	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-26</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ann nom concideration.	`	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	nor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I			•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	÷		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the certified copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority copies	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
	·		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∆ □	(DTO 446)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,136

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,615,892. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious that the method of claim 19 must be performed by the apparatus of claim 1 of U.S. Patent 6,615,892. It is noted that U.S. Patent 6,615,892 is the parent case of the present application and double patenting is generally not applied to a parent case, however in this instance it is appropriate because if claim 19 of the present application and claim 1 of the patent had been originally presented at the time of filing the application a restriction requirement would not have been made. The apparatus of claim 1 of the patent necessarily performs the method of claim 19 of the application and the method of claim 19 of the application is necessarily performed by the apparatus of claim 1 of the patent.

Art Unit: 1733

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-26 would be allowable for the reasons noted in the previous office action if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the obviousness type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John T. Haran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1217**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John T. Haran Examiner

Art Unit 1733